

EXPLICIT REPRESENTATION OF MEMBERSHIP IN POLYNOMIAL IDEALS

MATS ANDERSSON & ELIN GÖTMARK

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new division formula on projective space which provides explicit solutions to various polynomial division problems with sharp degree estimates. We consider simple examples as the classical Macaulay theorem as well as a quite recent result by Hickel, related to the effective Nullstellensatz. We also obtain a related result that generalizes Max Noether's classical $AF + BG$ theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F_1, \dots, F_m$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of degrees $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_m$ and assume that Φ is a polynomial that vanishes on the common zero set of the F_j . By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz one can find polynomials Q_j such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_j F_j Q_j = \Phi^\nu$$

if the power ν is large enough. A lot of attention has been paid to find effective versions, i.e., control of ν and the degrees of Q_j in terms of the degrees of F_j . In [15] Brownawell obtained bounds on ν and $\deg Q_j$ not too far from the best possible, using a combination of algebraic and analytic methods. Soon after that Kollár [25] obtained by purely algebraic methods the optimal result:

If $\deg F_j = d_i$ (and $d_i \neq 2$), then (1.1) holds for some $\nu \leq N_{ko}$ and $\deg(F_i Q_i) \leq (1 + \deg \Phi) N_{ko}$, where N_{ko} is $d_1 \cdots d_m$ if $m \leq n$ and $N_{ko} = d_1 \cdots d_{n-1} \cdot d_m$ otherwise.

In particular, if F_j have no common zeros in $\mathbb{C}^n$, then there are polynomials Q_j such that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_j F_j Q_j = 1,$$

with

$$\deg F_j Q_j \leq N_{ko}.$$

Date: August 21, 2009.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A26, 32A27, 32B15, 32C30.

The first author was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council. Support by the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden) is also gratefully acknowledged.

The restriction $d_j \neq 2$ has been removed by Jelonek, [23]; even more interesting is that the method he uses, basically elimination theory, actually produces explicitly the desired polynomials Q_i .

A standard way to reformulate problems of this kind is the following. Let $z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$, $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, let $f^i(z) = z_0^{d_i} F_i(z'/z_0)$ be the homogenizations of F_i , and let $\phi(z) = z_0^{\deg \Phi} \Phi(z'/z_0)$. Then there is a solution to

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi = \sum_i F_i Q_i$$

with $\deg F_i Q_i \leq \rho$ if and only if there are $(\rho - d_i)$ -homogeneous forms q_i such that

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_i f^i q_i = z_0^{\rho - \deg \Phi} \phi.$$

In [3], (1.4) is considered as an equation in vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ and it is shown that if ϕ annihilates a certain residue current R on $\mathbb{P}^n$, then there is indeed a global solution $q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$ provided that ρ also satisfies an additional estimate from below. In this new paper we introduce an explicit division formula

$$(1.5) \quad \psi(z) = \sum_i f^i(z) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathcal{H}_i U \psi + \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathcal{H} R \psi$$

that holds for $\psi = z_0^{\rho - \deg \Phi} \phi$ if ρ is large enough. Here U is a current that is smooth outside the common zero set $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of the f^i , R is a residue current with support on Z , and $\mathcal{H}_i$ are smooth in both variables and homogeneous polynomials of degrees $\rho - d_i$ in z . Thus (1.5) provides an explicit solution (after dehomogenization) to (1.3) if $R\psi = 0$. Moreover, in many cases one can choose the same ρ as in the implicit method, so we indeed get the same degree estimate of the solution.

Remark 1. Integral representation of solutions to polynomial division problems was introduced in [11] and has been used since then by many authors, see, e.g., [10] and the survey article [29]. However, in these formulas the integration (or rather the action of a current on a test form) is performed over $\mathbb{C}^n$ so a size estimate at infinity is needed to get rid of the residue term. The formula (1.5) is intrinsic on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and so the residue term may vanish for more subtle reasons. A similar formula was introduced already in [3] but with less precise degree estimates. $\square$

We also notice in this paper that, by a more careful residue calculus, the method in [3] admits more general results than stated there. Therefore we start with some examples of results that can be obtained in this way, and for which we now also have explicit representations. If Φ belongs to the integral closure of the ideal $(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ then

it follows from the Briançon-Skoda theorem, [14], that one can take $\nu = \min(m, n)$. The following nice result was proved by Hickel, [22], as an affirmative answer to a conjecture by Berenstein and Yger in [9].

Theorem 1.1. *[Hickel] If Φ is in the integral closure of $(F_1, \dots, F_m)$, then (1.1) holds with ν equal to $\min(m, n)$ and*

$$(1.6) \quad \deg(F_i Q_i) \leq \min(m, n)(\deg \Phi + N_{hi}),$$

where N_{hi} is $d_1 \cdots d_m$ if $m \leq n$ and $N_{hi} = \min(d_1^n, d_1 \cdots d_m / d_m^{m-n})$ otherwise.

Notice that, applied to $\Phi = 1$, one essentially gets back Kollár's theorem, except for the factor $\min(m, n)$ in front of N_{hi} .

The assumption that Φ is in the integral closure means (is equivalent to) that $|\Phi| \leq C|F|$ locally in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The following slightly stronger statement actually holds:

If Φ is a polynomial such that

$$(1.7) \quad |\Phi| \leq C|F|^{\min(m, n)}$$

locally in $\mathbb{C}^n$, then (1.3) has a solution such that

$$\deg(F_i Q_i) \leq \deg \Phi + \min(m, n)N_{hi}.$$

Notice that the condition (1.7), thanks to the Briançon-Skoda theorem, implies that Φ is in the ideal (F) in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

To prove Theorem 1.1 Hickel introduces a nonnegative rational number ν_∞ that is a measure of the “order of contact” of Z to the hyperplane at infinity, see Section 3 for the precise definition. From the Refined Bezout theorem due to Fulton and MacPherson, see [18], Hickel deduces, by quite rough estimates, that

$$(1.8) \quad \nu_\infty \leq N_{hi};$$

in most cases ν_∞ is much smaller. Theorem 1.1 is then an immediate corollary of the following result. As usual, $\lceil \alpha \rceil$ denotes the least integer that is $\geq \alpha$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that Φ satisfies (1.7).*

(i) Then (1.3) has a solution such that

$$(1.9) \quad \deg(F_i Q_i) \leq \deg \Phi + \lceil \min(m, n) \nu_\infty \rceil$$

if $m \leq n$. In case $m > n$ the estimate is the maximum of the right hand side and the number $d_1 + \dots + d_{n+1} - n$.

(ii) The integral formula provides a solution to (1.3) with

$$(1.10) \quad \deg(F_i Q_i) \leq \max(\deg \Phi + \lceil \min(m, n) \nu_\infty \rceil, d_1 + \dots + d_{\min(m, n+1)} - n).$$

Part (i) is a variant of Theorem 2.1' in [22]. By an extra argument due to Hickel one can get rid of the $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ in (1.9) and thus gain one unit when the number inside is not an integer.

We can assume from the beginning that Φ is in the ideal $(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ (but not assuming (1.7)) and ask for an estimate of the degrees of Q_j . Here is (as far as we know) a new result in this direction:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $\text{codim}\{F_1 = \dots = F_m = 0\} \geq m$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and that Φ is in the ideal $(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then (1.3) has a solution such that*

$$\deg(F_i Q_i) \leq \deg \Phi + \lceil m \nu_\infty \rceil,$$

whereas the integral formula provides a solution with

$$\deg(F_i Q_i) \leq \max(\deg \Phi + \lceil m \nu_\infty \rceil, d_1 + \dots + d_m - n).$$

Remark 2. If Z has no irreducible component at all contained in the hyperplane at infinity, then ν_∞ shall be interpreted as 0 in Theorem 1.3, and then we get back Theorem 1.2 in [3]. In case $m = n$ this is the classical so-called $AF + BG$ theorem of Max Noether, [27].

In particular, if $m \geq n + 1$ and Z is empty, then we get back the classical Macaulay theorem, [26], with an explicit formula representing the membership. Such a formula has also been obtained in [12] relying on [13]. $\square$

We have analogous results for submodules of $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^r$ rather than just ideals. Let F be a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^r)$ whose columns F^j have degrees $\leq d_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. We also assume that F is generically surjective in $\mathbb{C}^n$, which is equivalent to that the ideal $\det F$, generated by the $r \times r$ minors of F , is nontrivial. Let f be the associated matrix whose columns f^j are d_j -homogeneous polynomials and let Z be the set in $\mathbb{P}^n$ where $\det f$ is vanishing. Moreover, let ν_∞ be associated to the ideal $\det f$. By the estimate (1.8) above we get (without being too precise)

$$\nu_\infty \leq (d_1 \cdots d_r)^{\min(n, m!/(m-r)!r!)}.$$

Let Φ be an r -column of polynomials. The proper analogue of (1.7) is, cf., [4], that

$$(1.11) \quad \|\Phi\| \leq C |\det F|^{\min(n, m-r+1)}$$

holds locally, where $\|\Phi\|$ is a somewhat stronger norm than the natural norm $|\Phi|$; i.e., $\|\Phi\| \leq |\Phi|$. We have the following generalization of the previous theorems.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that F is an $r \times m$ matrix of polynomials as above with columns F^j and Φ is an r -column of polynomials. Assume that either (1.11) holds locally in $\mathbb{C}^n$, or that*

$$(1.12) \quad \text{codim}\{\det F = 0\} \geq m - r + 1$$

in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and Φ is in the module generated by the columns F^j .

(i) There are polynomials Q_j such that (1.3) holds and

$$(1.13) \quad \deg(F^i Q_i) \leq \deg \Phi + \lceil \min(n, m - r + 1) \nu_\infty \rceil$$

if $m \leq n + r - 1$. In case $m > n$ the estimate is the maximum of the right hand side and the number $d_1 + \dots + d_{n+r} - n$.

(ii) The division formula provides a solution to (1.3) such that

$$(1.14) \quad \deg(F^i Q_i) \leq \max \left(\deg \Phi + \lceil \min(n, m - r + 1) \nu_\infty \rceil, d_1 + \dots + d_{\min(m, n+r)} - n \right).$$

Notice that for a generic $r \times m$ -matrix F , the zero set of $\det F$ has codimension $m - r + 1$.

Again ν_∞ is 0 if (1.12) holds and Z has no irreducible component contained in the hyperplane at infinity

One can obtain sharper results for special ideals, for instance determinantal ideal, and product ideals, cf., [6]. However we skip precise formulations since our aim is mainly to give examples of various applications of the new representation formulas.

We are grateful to Michel Hickel and Alain Yger for important remarks on a preliminary version of this paper. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee for his careful reading and valuable suggestions.

2. THE BASIC SETUP

Assume that

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_N \xrightarrow{f_N} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} E_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} E_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is a generically exact complex of Hermitian vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let Z be the algebraic set where (2.1) is not pointwise exact. In [7] were introduced currents

$$U = U_1 + \dots + U_N, \quad R = R_1 + \dots + R_N$$

associated to (2.1) with the following properties: The current U is smooth outside Z , U_k are $(0, k-1)$ -currents that take values in $\text{Hom}(E_0, E_k)$, and R_k are $(0, k)$ -currents with support on Z , taking values in $\text{Hom}(E_0, E_k)$. Moreover, they satisfy the relations

$$(2.2) \quad f_1 U_1 = I_{E_0}, \quad f_{k+1} U_{k+1} - \bar{\partial} U_k = -R_k, \quad k \geq 1,$$

which can be compactly written as $\nabla_f U = I_{E_0} - R$ if $\nabla_f = f - \bar{\partial} = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_N - \bar{\partial}$. We have the corresponding complex of locally free sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E_N) \xrightarrow{f_N} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} \mathcal{O}(E_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathcal{O}(E_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{O}(E_0).$$

If ψ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(E_0)$ that annihilates R , i.e., the current $R\psi$ vanishes, then ψ is in the sheaf $\mathcal{J} = \text{Im } f_1$, see [7], Proposition 2.3.

In this paper we will only consider bundles that are direct sums of line bundles. Let $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ be the holomorphic line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^n$ whose sections are (naturally identified with) ℓ -homogeneous functions in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Moreover, let E_k^j be disjoint trivial line bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ with basis elements $e_{k,j}$, and let

$$(2.3) \quad E_k = (E_k^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_k^{r_k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^{r_k})).$$

Then

$$f_k = \sum_{ij} f_k^{ij} e_{k-1,i} \otimes e_{k,j}^*$$

are matrices of homogeneous forms, here $e_{k,j}^*$ are the dual elements, and

$$\deg f_k^{ij} = d_{k-1}^i - d_k^j.$$

We equip E_k with the natural Hermitian metric, i.e., such that

$$|\xi(z)|_{E_k}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} |\xi_j(z)|^2 |z|^{2d_k^j},$$

if $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r_k})$.

The Dolbeault cohomology $H^{0,q}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(r))$ vanishes for all r if $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ and also for $q = n$ if $r \geq -n$. From (2.2) and a simple homological argument we get the following proposition, see [7] for details.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that ψ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \otimes E_0$ such that $R\psi = 0$. If*

$$(2.4) \quad N \leq n \quad \text{or} \quad \rho - \max_i d_{n+1}^i \geq -n,$$

then there is a global holomorphic section q of $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \otimes E_1$ such that $f_1 q = \psi$.

Given an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J} = (f^1, \dots, f^m)$ as above one can find a complex (2.1) such that ψ annihilates the associated residue current R if and only if ψ is in $\mathcal{J}$, see [7] Section 7. However, in general the numbers d_{n+1}^i may be very big and (2.4) then reflects the obstruction for global solvability. For the purpose of this paper we need more specialized complexes. The Koszul complex will be of particular importance.

Example 1 (The Koszul complex). Let $f^1, \dots, f^m$ be our given homogeneous forms of degrees d_i , assume that E_0 is the trivial line bundle, and let

$$E = (E^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E^m \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_m)),$$

where E^i are trivial line bundles. Let e_i be basis elements for E^i and let e_i^* be the dual basis elements. We take

$$E_k = \Lambda^k E = \sum_{|I|=k} \mathcal{O}(-(d_{I_1} + \cdots + d_{I_k})) E^{I_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E^{I_k}$$

and f_k as interior multiplication with $f = \sum f^j e_j^*$. Now

$$\sigma = \sum_j \frac{\overline{f^j(z)}}{|z|^{2d_j}} e_j / |f|_{E^*}^2$$

is the section of E with minimal norm such that $f \cdot \sigma = 1$ outside Z , where

$$|f(z)|_{E^*}^2 = \sum_1^m |f^j(z)|^2 |z|^{-2d_j}.$$

Moreover,

$$U = |f|_{E^*}^{2\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1} \Big|_{\lambda=0}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad R = \bar{\partial}|f|_{E^*}^{2\lambda} \wedge \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1} \Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

cf., [2] and [3]; here $|_\lambda$ means evaluation at $\lambda = 0$ after analytic continuation (the existence of which is nontrivial and part of the statement).

The condition (2.4) in this case is

$$(2.6) \quad m \leq n \quad \text{or} \quad \rho - (d_1 + \dots + d_{n+1}) \geq -n.$$

If $\text{codim } Z = m$, then the resulting residue current R only consists of the term R_m (cf., Proposition 2.2 below); it coincides with the classical Coleff-Herrera product, cf., [3] p. 112, and hence the the duality theorem, [28] and [16], holds, i.e., locally $R\psi = 0$ if and only if ψ (locally) belongs to the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}$ generated by f . $\square$

Example 2. These formulas become much simpler if we assume that all $d_j = d$. Then

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\overline{f^j(z)} e_j}{|f(z)|^2}.$$

If $\bar{f} \cdot e = \sum \bar{f}^j e_j$ and $d\bar{f} \cdot e = \sum d\bar{f}^j \wedge e_j$, then

$$\sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1} = \frac{\bar{f} \cdot e \wedge (d\bar{f} \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}},$$

and it is easy to check that we can replace $|f|_{E^*}^{2\lambda}$ by $|f|^{2\lambda}$ in the definition of U and R . Thus

$$U = |f|^{2\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\bar{f} \cdot e \wedge (d\bar{f} \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}} \Big|_{\lambda=0}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad R = \bar{\partial}|f|^{2\lambda} \wedge \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\bar{f} \cdot e \wedge (d\bar{f} \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}} \Big|_{\lambda=0}.$$

$\square$

In [8] was introduced the sheaf of *pseudomeromorphic* currents $\mathcal{PM}$ on a complex manifold X . It is a module over the sheaf of smooth forms and closed under $\bar{\partial}$. For any $T \in \mathcal{PM}$ and variety V there exists a “restriction” $\mathbf{1}_V T$ that is in $\mathcal{PM}$ and has support on V . The current $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus V} T = T - \mathbf{1}_V T$ is determined by the natural restriction of T to the open set $X \setminus V$ or equivalently, $T = \mathbf{1}_V T$ if and only if T has support on V . If h is any tuple of holomorphic functions with common zero set V , then

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus V} T = |h|^{2\lambda} T|_{\lambda=0},$$

where, as before, the right hand side is the evaluation at the origin of a current-valued holomorphic function. We also have the following important fact (Corollary 2.4 in [8]):

Proposition 2.2. *If $T \in \mathcal{PM}$ has bidegree $(*, p)$ and support on a variety T of codimension $k > p$, then $T = 0$.*

For instance, the currents R and U above are pseudomeromorphic.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the sheaf over $\mathbb{P}^n$ generated by our homogeneous forms $f^1, \dots, f^m$, let

$$(3.1) \quad \nu: X_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

be the normalization of the blowup along $\mathcal{J}$, and let

$$Y^+ = \sum_i m_i^+ Y_i^+$$

be the associated divisor in X_+ , where Y_i^+ are its irreducible components. The varieties $\nu(Y_i^+)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ are the so-called distinguished varieties associated to $\mathcal{J}$. For each Y_i^+ such that $\nu(Y_i^+)$ is entirely contained in the hyperplane at infinity, $H = \{z_0 = 0\}$, we let e_i be the order of vanishing of $\nu^* h$ at Y_i^+ , where $h(z) = z_0$, and define

$$\nu_\infty = \max \frac{m_i^+}{e_i},$$

where the maximum is taken over all such indices i .

Let us now consider the residue current R in (2.5) obtained from the Koszul complex. Assume that $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is a log resolution of $\mathcal{J}$, i.e., $\tilde{X}$ is a smooth modification such that $\pi^* \mathcal{J}$ is principal and its zero set Y has normal crossings. Then

$$\pi^* f = f^0 f',$$

where f^0 is a holomorphic section of a line bundle $L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ that defines the divisor

$$Y = \sum_i m_i Y_i$$

and f' is a non-vanishing section of $\pi^*E^* \otimes L^{-1}$. Moreover,

$$L = \otimes_i L_i^{m_i},$$

and

$$f^0 = \otimes_i s_i^{m_i},$$

where s_i are sections of L_i that vanish to the first order; the normal crossing assumption means that in local trivializations the s_i are part of a local holomorphic coordinate system. It follows that

$$\pi^*\sigma = (1/f^0)\sigma',$$

where $1/f^0$ is a meromorphic section of L^{-1} and σ' is a smooth section of $\pi^*E \otimes L$. Now (in this section $|\cdot|$ always refers to vector bundle norm)

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\partial}|\pi^*f|^{2\lambda} \wedge \pi^*u_k = \bar{\partial}|\pi^*f|^{2\lambda} \wedge \frac{1}{(f^0)^k} u'_k,$$

where

$$u'_k = \sigma' \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma')^{k-1}$$

is smooth. It follows that (3.2) has an analytic continuation to $\lambda = 0$ and the value there is

$$\tilde{R} = \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{(f^0)^k} \wedge u'_k;$$

moreover, cf., (2.5),

$$R_k = \pi_* \tilde{R}_k.$$

Since we have normal crossings we also have the decomposition

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{R}_k = \sum_j \tilde{R}_{kj} = \sum_j \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{s_j^{km_j}} \wedge \otimes_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{s_i^{km_i}} u'_k$$

and hence

$$(3.4) \quad R_k = \sum_j R_{kj} = \sum_j \pi_* \tilde{R}_{kj}.$$

Claim: R_{kj} vanishes unless $\pi(Y_j)$ is a distinguished variety of $\mathcal{J}$.

To see this first notice that in X_+ ,

$$\nu^*f = f_+^0 f'_+,$$

where f_+^0 is a holomorphic section of the line bundle $L_+ \rightarrow X_+$ that defines the divisor Y^+ and f'_+ is a non-vanishing section of $\nu^*E^* \otimes L^{-1}$. The log resolution π factorizes over ν , i.e., we have

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} X_+ \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathbb{P}^n.$$

If $\pi(Y_j)$ is not a distinguished variety of $\mathcal{J}$, then $\tilde{\nu}(Y_j)$ has at least codimension 2 in X_+ . Therefore

$$\tilde{\nu}_* \left[\bar{\partial} \frac{1}{s_j^{km_j}} \wedge \otimes_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{s_i^{km_i}} \right]$$

must vanish according to Proposition 2.2 since it is a pseudomeromorphic $(0, 1)$ -current in X_+ with support on a variety with codimension at least 2. (In general X_+ is not smooth but the proof of Proposition 2.2 goes through verbatim even in the non-smooth case.) Notice that

$$\nu^* u_k = \frac{1}{(f_+^0)^k} u'_{+,k},$$

where $u'_{+,k}$ is smooth, and that $\tilde{u}'_k = \nu^* u'_{+,k}$. It follows that $\tilde{\nu}_* \tilde{R}_{kj} = 0$ and hence $\pi_* \tilde{R}_{kj} = \nu_* \tilde{\nu}_* \tilde{R}_{kj} = 0$ as claimed.

The resulting decomposition of R_k with respect to the distinguished varieties is inspired by [30] and [24], where it plays a fundamental role.

We are now ready for the proofs. We have already observed that Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let ϕ be the homogenization of Φ and let $\mu = \min(m, n)$. By the definition of ν_∞ , we have that $\nu^* h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ must vanish to order μm_i^+ on each divisor Y_i^+ such that $\nu(Y_i^+) \subset H$. On the other hand, it follows from (1.7) that $\nu^* \phi$ vanishes to order μm_i^+ on any other divisor Y_i^+ . Thus $\nu^*(\phi h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil})$ vanishes to order μm_i^+ on each Y_i^+ and therefore

$$(3.6) \quad |\phi h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}| \leq C |f|^\mu$$

on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Thus $\pi^*(\phi h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil})$ must contain the factor $s_j^{\mu m_j}$, which implies that it annihilates $\tilde{R}_{kj}$, cf., (3.3) and (3.4), for each $k \leq \mu$. It follows that $\phi h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ annihilates the current R .

Now $\phi h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ with $\rho = \deg \Phi + \lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil$. If necessary we raise the power of h further so that (2.6) holds. Then the first part of Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 2.1 after dehomogenization. The second part concerning explicit representation follows from Section 4. $\square$

Remark 3. Let $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ denote the integral closure sheaf generated by $\mathcal{J}$. It is well known that $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is in $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ if and only if $\nu^* \xi$ vanishes to order (at least) m_i^+ on Y_i^+ , i.e.,

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \nu_*(\mathcal{O}(-Y^+)).$$

In the same way, (3.6) means that $\phi h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ belongs to the integral closure of $\mathcal{J}^\mu$. $\square$

Remark 4. One can get rid of $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ in (1.9) by the following trick. Assume that $\mu \nu_\infty = a/b$, for integers a, b . Let $\hat{f}(z) = f(z_0^b, \dots, z_n^b)$ and similarly with $\hat{\phi}$. Now

$$|h^a \hat{\phi}| \leq C |\hat{f}|^\mu$$

and as before we then have a solution Ψ_j to

$$\sum_j \hat{F}^j \Psi_j = \hat{\Phi}$$

with

$$\deg \hat{F}^j \Psi_j \leq \deg \hat{\Phi} + a = b \deg \Phi + a.$$

However, one can then choose Ψ of the form $\Psi = \hat{Q}$, and it follows that

$$\deg F^j Q_j \leq \deg \Phi + a/b$$

as desired. See [22] for details. $\square$

In the previous proof we killed the residue by size estimates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as well as on the hyperplane H . In the proof of Theorem 1.3 the residue calculus is somewhat more involved because then we will kill different parts of the residue in different ways.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We begin with the same set-up as in the previous proof. Since R is pseudomeromorphic, cf., Section 2 above, we have the decomposition

$$R = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}^n} R + \mathbf{1}_H R,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}^n} R$ is the natural extension to $\mathbb{P}^n$ of the restriction of R to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Since f is a complete intersection here, R coincides with the Coleff-Herrera product, and by the duality theorem, it follows that $h^{\rho - \deg \Phi} \phi \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}^n} R = 0$.

Assume now that Z has no irreducible component contained in H . Then Z has codimension m in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and by Proposition 2.2 hence $R = R_m$. Thus $\mathbf{1}_H R = \mathbf{1}_H R_m$ has bidegree $(0, m)$ and support on $H \cap Z$ that has codimension strictly larger than m . By Proposition 2.2 it must therefore vanish. It follows that $h^{\rho - \deg \Phi} \phi R = 0$ and since (2.6) is satisfied, the membership follows.

We now consider the general case. We can choose the log resolution so that also $\pi^* h$ is a monomial in s_i . Notice, cf., (3.3) and (3.4), that

$$|h|^{2\lambda} R_{jk} = \pi_* (|\pi^* h|^{2\lambda} \tilde{R}_{jk})$$

vanishes when $\operatorname{Re} \lambda$ is large and hence for $\lambda = 0$ if s_j is a factor in $\pi^* h$, whereas the value at $\lambda = 0$ is R_{jk} if s_j is not a factor in $\pi^* h$. In view of (2.8) it follows that $\mathbf{1}_H R$ is the sum of R_{kj} such that $\pi(Y_j)$ is contained in H . Moreover, we know that only j corresponding to distinguished varieties give a contribution. Take such a j and assume that $\tilde{\nu}(Y_j) = Y_i^+$. We know that $\nu^* h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ vanishes at least to the same order as $\nu^* f^\mu$ does on Y_i^+ , and hence $\pi^* h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ must vanish at least to the same order as $\pi^* f^\mu$ on Y_j , i.e., $\pi^* h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil}$ contains the factor $s_j^{\mu m_j}$. It follows that $\tilde{R}_{kj} \pi^* h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil} = 0$.

Summing up, we have that $R h^{\lceil \mu \nu_\infty \rceil} \phi = 0$, and hence the first part of the theorem is proved. The second part, again, is treated in Section 4. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is analogous, but one has to use the so-called Buchsbaum-Rim complex which is a generalization of the Koszul

complex. See [4] where the associated currents are discussed, and special cases of Theorem 1.4 are proved.

4. EXPLICIT REPRESENTATION

We first discuss, following [1], [5], [19], and [20], how one can generate representation formulas for holomorphic sections of a vector bundle $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Let F_z denote the pull-back of F to $\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n \times \mathbb{P}_z^n$ under the natural projection $\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n \times \mathbb{P}_z^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_z^n$ and define F_ζ analogously. Notice that

$$\eta = 2\pi i \sum_0^n z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}$$

is a section of the bundle $\mathcal{O}_z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(-1) \otimes T_{1,0}(\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n)$ over $\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n \times \mathbb{P}_z^n$. If we express a projective form in homogeneous coordinates and contract with η we get a new projective form, i.e., we have a mapping

$$\delta_\eta : \mathcal{D}'_{\ell+1,q}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\ell,q}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j+1)),$$

where $\mathcal{D}'_{\ell,q}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j))$ denotes the sheaf of currents on $\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n \times \mathbb{P}_z^n$ of bidegree (ℓ, q) in ζ and $(0, 0)$ in z that take values in $\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j)$. Given a vector bundle $L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\zeta^n \times \mathbb{P}_z^n$, let

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu(L) = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{D}'_{j,j+\nu}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(j) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(-j) \otimes L).$$

If $\nabla_\eta = \delta_\eta - \bar{\partial}$, where $\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}_\zeta$, then $\nabla_\eta : \mathbb{L}^\nu(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\nu+1}(L)$. Furthermore, ∇_η obeys Leibniz' rule, and $\nabla_\eta^2 = 0$.

A *weight* with respect to $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and a point $z \in \mathbb{P}^n$ is a section g of $\mathbb{L}^0(\text{Hom}(F_\zeta, F_z))$ such that $\nabla_\eta g = 0$, g is smooth for ζ close to z , and $g_{0,0} = I_F$ when $\zeta = z$, where $g_{0,0}$ denotes the component of g with bidegree $(0, 0)$, and I_F is the identity endomorphism on F .

Proposition 4.1. *Let g be a weight with respect to $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and z , and assume that ψ is a holomorphic section of $F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n)$. We then have the representation formula*

$$\psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} g_{n,n} \psi.$$

This formula appeared in [19] (Proposition 5.5), and it can be deduced from [20]; however, for the reader's convenience we sketch a direct argument.

Proof. Notice that

$$b = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{|\zeta|^2 \bar{z} \cdot d\zeta - (\bar{z} \cdot \zeta) \bar{\zeta} \cdot d\zeta}{|\zeta|^2 |z|^2 - |\bar{\zeta} \cdot z|^2}$$

is a $(1, 0)$ -form with values in $\mathcal{O}_z(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(1)$ that is smooth outside the diagonal Δ in $\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n \times \mathbb{P}_z^n$. Fix the point z and let $B = b + b \wedge \bar{\partial} b + \dots + b \wedge (\bar{\partial} b)^{n-1}$. Then $\nabla_\eta B = 1$ outside z , and we claim that

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_\eta B = 1 - [z]$$

in the current sense, where $[z]$ is the current such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} [z] \xi(\zeta) = \xi(z)$$

for each smooth section ξ of $\mathcal{O}(-n)$. Because of rotational invariance it is enough to choose affine coordinates $\zeta = (1, \zeta') = (1, \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_n)$ and assume that $z = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then b becomes

$$b' = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\bar{\zeta}' \cdot d\zeta'}{|\zeta'|^2},$$

and it is elementary to check, cf., [1] p. 5, that $(\delta_{\zeta'} - \bar{\partial})(b' + b' \wedge \bar{\partial} b' + \dots + b' \wedge (\bar{\partial} b')^{n-1}) = 1 - [0]$. Now (4.1) follows. Thus

$$\nabla_\eta(B \wedge g) = g - [z] \wedge g = g - [z] g_{0,0} = g - [z] I_F,$$

and identifying the top degree terms we get

$$\bar{\partial}(B \wedge g)_{n,n-1} = [z] I_F - g_{n,n}.$$

Multiplying with ψ , the proposition follows from Stokes' formula. $\square$

Consider now a complex (2.1). In order to represent membership in $\mathcal{J} = \text{Im } f_1$, we will find a weight g that contains $f_1(z)$ as a factor and apply Proposition 4.1. To this end we introduce a generalization of so-called Hefer forms, inspired by [5] and [7], to the case of non-trivial vector bundles.

Definition 1. We say that $H = (H_k^\ell)$ is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet$ in (2.1) if H_k^ℓ are smooth sections of

$$\mathbb{L}^{-k+\ell}(\text{Hom}(E_{\zeta,k}, E_{z,\ell}))$$

that are holomorphic in z , $H_k^\ell = 0$ for $k < \ell$, the term $(H_\ell^\ell)_{0,0}$ of bidegree $(0, 0)$ is the identity I_{E_ℓ} on the diagonal Δ , and

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla_\eta H_k^\ell = H_{k-1}^\ell f_k - f_{\ell+1}(z) H_k^{\ell+1},$$

where f_k stands for $f_k(\zeta)$.

Notice that we do not require H to be holomorphic in ζ .

Remark 5. We can always find a Hefer morphism locally. To begin with we can easily find H_ℓ^ℓ with the stated properties locally. Since η is a complete intersection, the sheaf complex induced by ∇_η is exact except at $\mathbb{L}^0$, whereas a ∇_η -closed section ξ of $\mathbb{L}^0$ is locally exact if and only if $\xi_{0,0}$ vanishes on the diagonal (see, e.g., [1], Proposition 4.1). This latter condition is fulfilled by the right hand side of $\nabla_\eta H_{\ell+1}^\ell =$

$-f_{\ell+1}(z)H_{\ell+1}^{\ell+1} + H_{\ell}^{\ell}f_{\ell+1}$ so there is locally a solution $H_{\ell+1}^{\ell}$. One can now proceed by induction. $\square$

Assume that H is a Hefer morphism for $E_{\bullet}$ and let U and R be the associated currents. We can then form the currents $H^1U = \sum_j H_j^1U_j$ and $H^0R = \sum_j H_j^0R_j$. To be precise with the signs one has to introduce a superbundle structure on $E = \oplus E_k$; then for instance f is mapping of even order since it maps $E_k \rightarrow E_{k-1}$ (and therefore f anti-commutes with odd order forms) whereas, e.g., H is even since H_k^{ℓ} is a form of degree $k - \ell \pmod{2}$ that takes values in $\text{Hom}(E_{\ell}, E_k)$, giving another factor $k - \ell \pmod{2}$. See Section 5 in [5] for details.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that H is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_{\bullet}$. Then the current*

$$(4.3) \quad f_1(z)H^1U + H^0R,$$

is a weight with respect to E_0 and z outside Z . If ψ is a holomorphic section of $E_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n)$, then we have the representation

$$(4.4) \quad \psi(z) = f_1(z) \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\zeta}^n} (H^1U)_{n,n} \psi + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\zeta}^n} (H^0R)_{n,n} \psi, \quad z \in \mathbb{P}^n.$$

If $R\psi = 0$ we thus have the explicit holomorphic solution

$$q(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\zeta}^n} (H^1U)_{n,n} \psi$$

to $f_1q = \psi$.

Remark 6. In [7] occur more general currents U_k^{ℓ} and R_k^{ℓ} taking values in $\text{Hom}(E_k, E_{\ell})$. With the same proof as below we get the more general formula

$$\psi(z) = f_{\ell+1}(z) \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\zeta}^n} (H^{\ell+1}U)_{n,n} \psi + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\zeta}^n} (H^{\ell}R)_{n,n} \psi + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\zeta}^n} (H^{\ell}U)_{n,n} f_{\ell} \psi$$

for holomorphic sections of $E_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n + \ell)$. If $f_{\ell}\psi = 0$ and $R\psi = 0$ we thus get an explicit holomorphic solution to $f_{\ell+1}q = \psi$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. The first part of the proposition follows in the same way as the corresponding statement (5.4) in [5]. However, we will provide an argument for a more general statement, which also implies the more general formula in Remark 6.

To this end let R and U denote the “full” currents mentioned in the remark. Then

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla_f \circ U + U \circ \nabla_f = I_E - R,$$

see [7] Section 2. Let

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{g} = H - \nabla_{\eta}(HU)$$

and let g be the components that take values in $\oplus_\ell \text{Hom}(E_{\zeta,\ell}, E_{z,\ell})$. Since $(H_\ell^\ell)_{0,0}$ is the identity on Δ it follows that g is indeed a weight with respect to E . Recalling that H has even order and f is odd, and using (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g} &= H - (-f(z)H + Hf)U - H\nabla_\eta U = \\ &= H + f(z)HU - HfU + H\bar{\partial}U = \\ &= H + f(z)HU - H(fU + Uf - \bar{\partial}U - Uf) = \\ &= H + f(z)HU - H(I_{E_\zeta} - R) + HUf.\end{aligned}$$

Now (4.3) is the component of the last term that takes values in $\text{Hom}(E_{\zeta,0}, E_{z,0})$, and hence (4.3) is a weight.

The division formula (4.4) now follows from Proposition 4.1 for z outside Z , and hence in general since both sides of (4.4) are holomorphic. One gets the formula in Remark 6 from the component of $\tilde{g}$ that takes values in $\text{Hom}(E_{\zeta,\ell}, E_{z,\ell})$. $\square$

Assume now that $E_\bullet$ is a complex with E_k of the form (2.3) and choose κ such that $\kappa \geq d_k^i$ for all i, k . We can then construct a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$. Notice that the currents U and R that are associated to $E_\bullet$ are also the associated currents to $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$. We thus obtain a division formula for sections ψ of $E_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa - n)$.

Let $E'_\bullet$ denote the complex of trivial bundles over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ that we get from $E_\bullet$, and let F_k denote the corresponding mappings (which are formally the original matrices f_k). Let δ_{w-z} denote interior multiplication with

$$2\pi i \sum_0^n (w_j - z_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}$$

in $\mathbb{C}_w^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}_z^{n+1}$.

Proposition 4.3. *There exist $(k - \ell, 0)$ -form-valued mappings*

$$h_k^\ell = \sum_{ij} (h_k^\ell)_{ij} e_{li} \otimes e_{kj}^* : \mathbb{C}_w^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}_z^{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E'_k, E'_\ell),$$

such that $h_k^\ell = 0$ for $k < \ell$, $h_\ell^\ell = I_{E'_\ell}$, and

$$(4.7) \quad \delta_{z-w} h_k^\ell = h_{k-1}^\ell F_k(w) - F_{\ell+1}(z) h_k^{\ell+1},$$

and the coefficients in the form $(h_k^\ell)_{ij}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d_k^j - d_\ell^i - (k - \ell)$.

For a proof, see [21]. In Section 4 in [5] there is an explicit formula that provides h_k^ℓ . One has to verify, though, that they get the desired degrees and homogeneities.

Notice that

$$\alpha = \alpha_{0,0} + \alpha_{1,1} = \frac{z \cdot \bar{\zeta}}{|\zeta|^2} - \bar{\partial} \frac{\bar{\zeta} \cdot d\zeta}{2\pi i |\zeta|^2}$$

is a well-defined smooth form in $L^0(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(1), \mathcal{O}_z(1)))$, such that

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla_\eta \alpha = 0,$$

and $\alpha_{0,0}$ is equal to $I_{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ on the diagonal. Thus α is weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Furthermore,

$$\gamma_j = d\zeta_j - \frac{\bar{\zeta} \cdot d\zeta}{|\zeta|^2} \zeta_j$$

is a projective form and

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla_\eta \gamma_j = 2\pi i(z_j - \alpha \zeta_j).$$

If $h(w, z)$ is a homogeneous form in $\mathbb{C}_w^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}_z^{n+1}$ with differentials dw and polynomial coefficients, we let $\tau^* h$ be the projective form obtained by replacing w by $\alpha \zeta$ and dw_j by γ_j . We then have

$$(4.10) \quad \nabla_\eta \tau^* h = \tau^*(\delta_{w-z} h),$$

in light of (4.9) and (4.8).

Proposition 4.4. *Assuming that $\kappa \geq d_k^j$ for all k and j we define*

$$H_k^\ell = \sum_{ij} (\tau^* h_k^\ell)_{ij} \wedge \alpha^{\kappa - d_k^j} e_{\ell, i} \otimes e_{k, j}^*.$$

Then (H_k^ℓ) is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$.

Proof. First notice that α is in $L^0(\mathcal{O}_z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(-1))$ and hence $\alpha \zeta_\nu$ and z_ν are in $L^0(\mathcal{O}_z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(0))$. It follows that $\tau^*(h_k^\ell)_{ij}$ is a section of $L^{-k+\ell}(\mathcal{O}_z(d_k^j - d_\ell^i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(0))$ and hence $\alpha^{\kappa - d_k^j} \wedge (\tau^* h_k^\ell)_{ij}$ is a section of $L^{-k+\ell}(\mathcal{O}_z(\kappa - d_\ell^i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(-\kappa + d_k^j))$. This means that H_k^ℓ is indeed a section of $L^{-k+\ell}(\text{Hom}(E_{\zeta, k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(\kappa), E_{z, \ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(\kappa)))$.

It is readily checked that $(H_\ell^\ell)_{0,0}$ equals $I_{E_\ell} = I_{E_\ell \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)}$ on the diagonal. We now show that (4.2) holds. Using (4.9), (4.7) and (4.8) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\eta H_k^\ell)_{ij} &= \nabla_\eta [\alpha^{\kappa - d_k^j} \wedge \tau^*(h_k^\ell)_{ij}] = \alpha^{\kappa - d_k^j} \wedge \tau^* \delta_{w-z}(h_k^\ell)_{jk} = \\ &\alpha^{\kappa - d_k^j} \wedge \tau^* \sum_\nu (F_{\ell+1})_{i\nu}(z)(h_k^{\ell+1})_{\nu j} + \alpha^{\kappa - d_k^j} \wedge \tau^* \sum_\nu (h_{k-1}^\ell)_{i\nu}(F_k(w))_{\nu j}. \end{aligned}$$

The next to last term is

$$-[f(z)_{\ell+1} H_k^{\ell+1}]_{ij}$$

and since

$$\tau^*(F_k(w)) = \alpha^{d_k^j - d_{k-1}^\nu} f_k(\zeta),$$

the last term is

$$[H_{k-1}^\ell f_k]_{ij}.$$

Thus the proposition follows. $\square$

5. EXAMPLES

Let us compute a solution formula corresponding to the Koszul complex, cf., Example 1. Then we first have to find a Hefer morphism. Let $\tilde{h}_j(w, z)$ be $(1, 0)$ -forms in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of polynomial degrees $d_j - 1$ such that

$$\delta_{w-z}\tilde{h}_j = f^j(w) - f^j(z)$$

and let $h_j = \tau^*\tilde{h}_j$. We only have to care about $k \leq \min(m, n+1)$ so we assume that

$$\kappa \geq d_1 + \dots + d_{\min(m, n+1)}.$$

Then

$$H_k^\ell = \sum_{|I|=\ell} \sum_{|J|=k-\ell} \pm h_{J_1} \wedge \dots \wedge h_{J_{k-\ell}} \wedge e_I \otimes e_{IJ}^* \wedge \alpha^{\kappa-(d_{J_1}+\dots+d_{J_{k-\ell}}+d_{I_1}+\dots+d_{I_k})}$$

is a Hefer morphism. The components of most interest for us are H_k^0 and H_k^1 . Since

$$H_k^0 = \sum_{|J|=k} \pm h_{J_1} \wedge \dots \wedge h_{J_k} \wedge e_J^* \wedge \alpha^{\kappa-(d_{J_1}+\dots+d_{J_k})},$$

it can be more compactly written as

$$H_k^0 = \alpha^\kappa \wedge (\delta_{\hat{h}})_k$$

where $\delta_{\hat{h}}$ denotes formal interior multiplication with

$$\hat{h} = \sum \alpha^{-d_j} \wedge h_j \wedge e_j^*$$

and $(\delta_{\hat{h}})_k = \delta_{\hat{h}}^k/k!$. In the same way

$$H_k^1 = \alpha^\kappa \wedge N(\delta_{\hat{h}})_{k-1},$$

where

$$N = \sum_j \alpha^{-d_j} e_j \otimes e_j^*.$$

Assume now that ψ is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ where $\rho = \kappa - n$. We then have the decomposition (4.4). If in addition $R\phi = 0$ we thus have that

$$\psi(z) = \sum f^j(z) \cdot q_j(z) = \delta_{f(z)} q(z)$$

where, cf., Example 1,

$$(5.1) \quad q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(m, n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\zeta^n} \alpha^\kappa \wedge N(\delta_{\hat{h}})_{k-1} (\sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1}) \psi.$$

With the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 or 1.3, the proofs in Section 3 show that $\psi = z_0^{\rho-\deg \Phi} \phi$ annihilates the residue R , for appropriate choice of ρ , and hence (5.1) is an explicit solution to the division problem (after dehomogenization).

Example 3. As in Example 2 let us assume that $d_j = d$. If $h = \sum h_j \wedge e_j^*$, then

$$H_k^1 = \alpha^{\kappa-dk} (\delta_h)_{k-1}.$$

Then our solution q in (5.1) takes values in $\mathcal{O}(\rho - d) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa - n - d)$, and it can be written as

$$(5.2) \quad q = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(m, n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \alpha^{\rho+n-dk} \wedge (\delta_h)_{k-1} \frac{\bar{f} \cdot e \wedge (d\bar{f} \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}} \psi.$$

□

If f has no zeros at all, then (5.1) provides a completely explicit representation of Macaulay's theorem and the integrand is smooth. If $m \leq n$ and $|\psi| \leq |f|^{\min(m, n)}$, then $U\psi$ is integrable so (5.1) (and in particular (5.2)) is a convergent integral. In general, however, $U\psi$ may be a distribution of higher order than zero, and then (5.1) must be regarded as a principal value. For instance one can multiply by $|f|^{2\lambda}$ and put $\lambda = 0$, cf., Example 1. One can just as well define it as a classical principal value, see, e.g., [2],

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^{\min(m, n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \chi(|f|/\epsilon) \alpha^{\kappa} \wedge N(\delta_h)_{k-1} (\sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1}) \psi,$$

where $\chi(t)$ is (a smooth approximand of) the characteristic function for the interval $[1, \infty)$.

Remark 7. If f^j are rational we can choose rational Hefer polynomials $\tilde{h}_j$, and then h_j are rational expressions in $\alpha\zeta$, z , and γ_i . It is possible that the resulting solution then actually is rational if ψ is rational but we have no argument. □

Also in the case of the Buchsbaum-Rim complex one can find quite simple expressions for a Hefer morphism for the corresponding homogeneous complex, see Section 6 in [5]. One then obtain the projective Hefer morphism following Section 4 above. Therefore we get an explicit representation for the solutions in Theorem 1.4 as well; however we omit the details.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ANDERSSON: *Integral representation with weights I*, Math. Ann. **326** (2003), 1-18.
- [2] M. ANDERSSON: *Residue currents and ideals of holomorphic functions*, Bull. Sci. Math. **128**, (2004), 481-512.
- [3] M. ANDERSSON: *The membership problem for polynomial ideals in terms of residue currents*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **56** (2006), 101-119.
- [4] M. ANDERSSON: *Residue currents of holomorphic morphisms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **596** (2006), 215-234.
- [5] M. ANDERSSON: *Integral representation with weights II, division and interpolation formulas*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), 315-332.

- [6] M. ANDERSSON: *Explicit versions of the Briancon-Skoda theorem with variations*, Michigan Math. J. **54**(2) (2006), 361-373.
- [7] M. ANDERSSON, E. WULCAN: *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **40** (2007), 985-1007.
- [8] M. ANDERSSON & E. WULCAN: *Decomposition of residue currents*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear).
- [9] C. BERENSTEIN & A. YGER: *Formules de representation integrale et problemes de division*, Approximations diophantiennes et nombres transcendants (Luminy, 1990), 15-37, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [10] C. BERENSTEIN & R. GAY & A. VIDRAS & A. YGER: *Residue Currents and Bézout Identities*, Birkhäuser (1993).
- [11] B. BERNDTSSON: *A formula for division and interpolation*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 113-160.
- [12] BOYER, J.-Y.: *Comparaison de différentes approches des résidus et applications*, PhD thesis Université Bordeaux 1 (1999).
- [13] BOYER, J.-Y.; HICKEL, M: *Extension dans un cadre algébrique d'une formule de Weil*, Manuscripta Math. **98** (1999), 195-223.
- [14] J. BRIANÇON & H. SKODA: *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de $\mathbb{C}^n$.*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 949-951.
- [15] W. D. BROWNAWELL: *Bounds for the degrees in Nullstellensatz*, Ann. of Math. **126** (1987), 577-592.
- [16] A. DICKENSTEIN & C. SESSA: *Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 417-434.
- [17] L. EIN & R. LAZARSFELD: *A geometric effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. math. **135** (1999), 427-448.
- [18] W. FULTON: *Intersection theory. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xi+470 pp.
- [19] E. GÖTMARK: *Some applications of weighted integral formulas*, Licentiate thesis Göteborg (2005).
- [20] E. GÖTMARK: *Weighted integral formulas on manifolds*, Ark. Mat. **46** (2008), 43-68.
- [21] E. GÖTMARK: *Explicit solutions of division problems for matrices of polynomials*, Preprint Gothenburg 2008.
- [22] M. HICKEL: *Solution d'une conjecture de C. Berenstein-A. Yger et invariants de contact à l'infini*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **51** (2001), 707-744.
- [23] Z. JELONEK: *On the effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. math. **162** 1-17 (2005).
- [24] M. JONSSON & E. WULCAN: *On Bochner-Martinelli residue currents and their annihilator ideals*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (to appear).
- [25] J. KOLLÁR: *Sharp effective Nullstellensatz*, J. American Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 963-975.
- [26] F.S. MACAULAY: *The algebraic theory of modular systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1916.
- [27] M. NÖTHER: *Über einen Satz aus der Theorie der algebraischen Functionen*, Math. Ann. (1873), 351-359.
- [28] M. PASSARE: *Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **62** (1988), 75-152.
- [29] A. TSIKH & A. YGER: *Residue currents. Complex analysis*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **120** (2004), 1916-1971.
- [30] E. WULCAN: *Residue currents of monomial ideals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), 365-388.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GÖTEBORG, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `matsa@math.chalmers.se`